arXiv:1511.05007v1 [astro-ph.CO] 16 Nov 2015

Dimensional reduction in freely decaying turbulent non-helical magnetic fields

P. Olesen
The Niels Bohr Institute
Blegdamsvej 17, Copenhagen Ø, Denmark

November 17, 2015

Abstract

We show that the self-similarity property of decaying turbulent non-helical magnetic fields is the same in all dimensions. It is shown that these fields produce an inverse transfer in all dimensions. It is also shown that this phenomenon in a certain gauge can be assigned to a time independent value of the squared vector potential. This mechanism is similar to what happens in the well known inverse cascades in two dimensional magnetohydrodynamics.

Recently the non-helical[1] inverse transfer of a decaying turbulent magnetic field has been studied in the papers [1] and [2]. These fields are for example of interest in cosmology and for optical polarization in gamma ray burst afterglows. A few of the results obtained in these papers can be understood from the point of view of self-similarity of the energy, as discussed in our paper [3]. Self-similarity implies a motion of the energy towards smaller k as time goes on. In the following we shall extend our previous study to show that the self-similarity is independent of the dimension, and that there is an associated time independent quantity, namely the square of the vector potential, as found approximately numerically in the paper by Kahniashvili, Brandenburg, and Tevzadze [1]. This is analogous to what happens in two dimensional MHD so for this reason one can say that a dimensional reduction occurs.

We start from the following expression of the energy of the magnetic field in D dimension,

$$\mathcal{E}_B(k,t) = \frac{\Omega_D k^{D-1}}{2(2\pi)^D} \int \frac{d^D x}{V_D} \int d^D y \; e^{i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x})} \; < \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x},t)\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{y},t) >, \tag{1}$$

where Ω_D is the full solid angle in D dimensions and V_D is a D dimensional normalization volume. The kinetic energy $\mathcal{E}_v(k,t)$ is the same expression with $\mathbf{B}$ replaced by the velocity

[1]By non-helical we mean that the magnetic helicity is small. Due to fluctuations of the fields helicity can always have some small value $\neq 0$.

field $\mathbf{v}$. We assume that these fields are statistically isotropic. The normalization is given by

$$\int_0^\infty dk\ \mathcal{E}_B(k,t) = \frac{1}{2}\ \int \frac{d^D x}{V_D} < \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x},t)^2 >= \frac{1}{2}\ < \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x},t)^2 > . \tag{2}$$

Proceeding as in [3] by use of the following scaling invariance of the MHD equations

$$\mathbf{x} \to l\mathbf{x},\ t \to l^2 t,\ \mathbf{v} \to \mathbf{v}/l, \mathbf{B} \to \mathbf{B}/l,\ \nu \to \nu,\ \eta \to \eta,\ p \to p/l^2, \tag{3}$$

where ν and η are the kinetic and Ohmic diffusions, respectively, we obtain from Eq. (1) according to these scalings (with $\mathbf{x} = l\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y} = l\mathbf{y}'$, and $V_D = l^D V_D'$)

$$\mathcal{E}_B(k/l, l^2 t) = l\ \frac{\Omega_D k^{D-1}}{2(2\pi)^D}\ \int \frac{d^D x'}{V_D'} \int d^D y'\ e^{i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{y}'-\mathbf{x}')} < \mathbf{B}(l\mathbf{x}', l^2 t)\mathbf{B}(l\mathbf{y}', l^2 t) >= l^{-1}\mathcal{E}_B(k,t), \tag{4}$$

where $\mathbf{x} = l\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y} = l\mathbf{y}'$, and $V_D = l^D V_D'$. There is a similar expression for the kinetic energy with $\mathbf{B}$ replaced by the velocity field $\mathbf{v}$.

As in [3] we obtain the self-similarity (choose $l = \sqrt{t_0/t}$)

$$\mathcal{E}_{v,B}(k,t) = \sqrt{t_0/t}\ \mathcal{E}_{v,B}\left(k\sqrt{t/t_0}, t_0\right), \tag{5}$$

The important point is that this relation is *independent of the dimension D*. This is due in part to the dimensional indifference of the scaling relations (3) and in part by the way the dimension enters Eq. (4). Therefore all results derived from the self-similarity are independent of the dimension.

The scaling arguments presented above imply the relation (5), but does not tell us anything about the energies as functions of k. These functions could quite well depend on the dimensions. Therefore, in the following it should be kept in mind that it is only the self-similarity which is indifferent to the dimensions.

As shown in [3] self-similarity leads to some quantitative results,

$$\mathcal{E}_{v,B}(t) = \int_0^\infty dk\ \mathcal{E}_{v,B}(k,t) = \frac{t_0}{t}\int_0^\infty dx\ \mathcal{E}_{v,B}(x,t_0) \propto \frac{1}{t},\ \text{all dimensions}, \tag{6}$$

and

$$< \xi >= \kappa^{-1}(t) = \int_0^\infty\ \frac{dk}{k}\ \mathcal{E}(k,t)/\mathcal{E}(t) = \sqrt{\frac{t_0}{t}}\ \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{x}\mathcal{E}(x,t_0)/\mathcal{E}(t) \propto \sqrt{t},\ \text{all dimensions}. \tag{7}$$

For three dimensions these results are in accordance with the numerical calculations reported in [1].

Although we want to discuss non-helical magnetic fields there are always fluctuations [1] leading to some small magnetic helicity as pointed out in [1]. In [3] we showed that the integrated helicity decreases like $1/\sqrt{t}$, i.e. slower than the energy[2]

[2]For a discussion of the combination of scaling and helicity we refer to the paper by Campanelli [4].

The transfer of energy from smaller to larger scales can be understood as a generic feature of freely decaying turbulence in magnetohydrodynamics through the self-similarity (5), which in turn is a consequence of the dimensionally indifferent scaling (3). In spite of this it may be of interest to study whether the inverse behavior can be understood in more conventional terms. In the paper [1] by Kahniashvili, Brandenburg, and Tevzadze there is a discussion (see p. 8 and p. 11) of the inverse cascade phenomenon. In three dimensions this is related to the magnetic helicity, whereas in two dimensions it is related to $< A^2 >$, where A is the vector potential in two dimensions. In the paper [1] the authors speculate whether the inverse transfer observed in their calculations could be due to a (locally) two dimensional flow occurring in three dimensions. They also find numerically that $< A^2 >$ is approximately constant in time. In the following we shall investigate this idea from the point of self-similarity.

The vector potential is defined through $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$. Obviously $< A^2 >$ is gauge dependent. We choose the Lorenz gauge

$$\partial_i A_i = 0, \quad A_i \to \tilde{A}_i + \partial_i \Lambda, \text{ and } \partial^2 \Lambda = 0. \tag{8}$$

The scaling property of $\mathbf{A}$ follows from Eq. (3), according to which $\mathbf{B} \to \mathbf{B}/l$, so $\mathbf{A}$ remains invariant,

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}, t) \to \mathbf{A}(l\mathbf{x}, l^2 t). \tag{9}$$

Next consider the quantity

$$\mathcal{AA}(k,t) = \frac{\Omega_D k^{D-1}}{(2\pi)^D} \int \frac{d^D x}{V} \int d^D y \; e^{i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x})} < \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x},t)\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{y},t) >, \tag{10}$$

with the property

$$\int dk \mathcal{AA}(k,t) = \int \frac{d^D x}{V} < \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x},t)^2 > = < \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x},t)^2 > \tag{11}$$

The expression (10) is invariant under the gauge transformations (8), as is seen by some partial integrations in (10). First, the factor in the integrand

$$< A_i(\mathbf{x},t) A_i((\mathbf{y},t) > \tag{12}$$

by a gauge transformation of the type (8) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} < \tilde{A}_i(\mathbf{x},t)\tilde{A}_i(\mathbf{y},t) > + < \tilde{A}_i(\mathbf{x},t)\partial_i\Lambda(\mathbf{y},t) > + < \partial_i\Lambda(\mathbf{x},t)\tilde{A}_i(\mathbf{y},t) > \\ + < \partial_i\Lambda(\mathbf{x},t)\partial_i\Lambda(\mathbf{y},t) > . \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Then by partial integrations e.g. the ∂_y differentiations can be shifted to the exponent in (10) and replaced by $-\partial_x$ because $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}$ enters the exponent. Another partial integration then shifts the differentiations back to the <> brackets[3], so the right hand side becomes

$$\begin{aligned} < \tilde{A}_i(\mathbf{x},t)\tilde{A}_i(\mathbf{y},t) > - < \partial_i\tilde{A}_i(\mathbf{x},t)\Lambda(\mathbf{y},t) > - < \Lambda(\mathbf{x},t)\partial_i\tilde{A}_i(\mathbf{y},t) > \\ - < \partial^2\Lambda(\mathbf{x},t)\Lambda(\mathbf{y},t) > . \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

[3]It is assumed that the contributions from the boundaries vanish, e.g. because the fields vanish at infinity or by suitable periodic boundary conditions.

By use of the gauge conditions (8) we see that the last three terms vanish. Thus we have shown that

$$\int \frac{d^D x}{V} \int d^D y \; e^{i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x})} < A_i(\mathbf{x},t) A_i((\mathbf{y},t) >= \int \frac{d^D x}{V} \int d^D y \; e^{i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x})} < \tilde{A}_i(\mathbf{x},t) \tilde{A}_i((\mathbf{y},t) > \tag{15}$$

So the expression (10) is invariant under the gauge transformation (8).

Using the scaling (9) in Eq. (10) we obtain (with $\mathbf{x} = l\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y} = l\mathbf{y}'$, and $V_D = l^D V_D'$)

$$\mathcal{AA}(k/l, l^2 t) = l \frac{\Omega_D k^{D-1}}{(2\pi)^D} \int \frac{d^D x'}{V'} \int d^D y' \; e^{i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{y}'-\mathbf{x}')} < \mathbf{A}(l\mathbf{x}', l^2 t) \mathbf{A}(l\mathbf{y}', l^2 t) >= l \mathcal{AA}(k,t). \tag{16}$$

This relation has to be valid for all l, so taking as before

$$l = \sqrt{t_0/t} \tag{17}$$

Eq. (16) gives

$$\mathcal{AA}(k,t) = \sqrt{t/t_0} \; \mathcal{AA}(k\sqrt{t/t_0}, t_0). \tag{18}$$

Inserting this self-similarity relation in Eq.(11) we obtain

$$< \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x},t)^2 >= \int dk \mathcal{AA}(k,t) = \int dx \mathcal{AA}(x,t_0) = \text{independent of } t, \tag{19}$$

where $x = k\sqrt{t/t_0}$.

We have therefore arrived at the result that in the gauge (8) the quantity $< A^2 >$ is constant, like in two dimensional MHD, This conserved quantity is obviously not in general gauge invariant, but the scaling leading to time independence is valid at least in the particular gauge (8).

In conclusion we have shown that the dimensional reduction based on $< A^2 >$=constant found approximately in the paper [1] is valid exactly in the gauge (8) using simple scaling arguments. The constancy of $< A^2 >$ is thus a consequence of self-similarity [3], which we have shown to be valid in the same form in all dimensions.

References

[1] T. Kahniashvili, A. Brandenburg, and A. Tevzadze, *Nonhelical inverse transfer of a decaying turbulent magnetic field*, arXiv:1404.2238; Phys. Rev. Lett. 114 (2015) 7,075001.

[2] J. Zrake, *Inverse cascade of non-helical magnetic turbulence in a relativistic fluid*, arXiv:1407.5626; Astrophys. J. 809 (2015) 1,39.

[3] P. Olesen, *Inverse transfer of self-similar decaying turbulent non-helical magnetic field*, arXiv:1509.08962.

[4] L. Campanelli, *Scaling laws in magnetohydrodynamic turbulence*, Phys. Rev. D70 (2004) 083009.